

PATENT
450117-02828

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION FOR LETTERS PATENT

TITLE: SOVA TURBO DECODER WITH DECREASED
NORMALISATION COMPLEXITY

INVENTOR: Richard STIRLING-GALLACHER

William S. Frommer
Registration No. 25,506
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP
745 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10151
Tel. (212) 588-0800

000001 20000900

European Patent Application

Sony International (Europe) GmbH

"SOVA Turbo Decoder with decreased normalisation complexity"

S99P5104EP00/PAE99-065TRDE

5 P22888

SOVA Turbo decoder with decreased normalisation complexity

10 The present invention relates to a turbo decoder using a soft output Viterbi algorithm, a mobile communications device comprising such a turbo decoder as well as to a turbo decoding method using a soft output Viterbi algorithm (SOVA).

15 Turbo coding was first proposed by Berrou et al, „Near Shannon Limit Error-Correcting Coding and Decoding: Turbo-Codes“, Proc. IEEE Conference on Communications (ICC 93), pages 1064 to 1070, 1993. There has been much interest in turbo coding due to the remarkable BER performance achievable. Turbo decoding combines the concepts of iterative decoding, soft in/soft out decoding, recursive systematic convolutional (RSC) encoding and random interleaving.

20 Turbo-coding (and the corresponding decoding) can either be performed as a parallel or serial concatenated scheme. The present invention relates to both schemes. For the parallel case the encoder consists of two or more encoding units which send coded interleaved and non-interleaved versions of the incoming data stream. Figure 8 shows
25 an example of encoders suitable for a parallel system using two encoding units.

For the case of the serial concatenated scheme two or more encoding units are used in series. The first encoding unit encodes the incoming data stream. (For the case of a coding scheme with two encoding units this encoder is referred to as the outer encoder).
30 The output of this first encoding unit is then passed to an interleaver, the output of which then feeds the second encoding unit. The structure is then repeated for the number of encoding units in the system. Figure 9 shows an example of a serial system using two encoding units (coder 1, coder 2).

35 A typical turbo decoder consists of two or more soft in/soft out decoders, which decode the encoded stream in an iterative loop. Two types of soft in/soft out decoders are commonly used, i.e. the maximum a posteriori (MAP) and the soft output Viterbi algorithm (SOVA). The MAP yields higher performance than the SOVA (for a given

number of iterations) but with the drawback of higher complexity. In the frame work of the present invention only the SOVA turbo decoder is considered.

From US-A-5,537,444 an extended list output and soft symbol output Viterbi algorithm is proposed.

As it is known from Papke, Robertson, „Improved Decoding with the SOVA in a Parallel Concatenated (Turbo-code) Scheme“, Proc. IEEE Conference on Communications (ICC 96), pages 102 to 106, 1996, the extrinsic information produced by SOVA decoder is too optimistic. To improve performance it was suggested to multiply the extrinsic information at the output of each SOVA decoder by a normalisation factor. Figure 3 shows a schematic representation of a parallel turbo decoder with normalisation units 27, 33 after each decoding unit 25 and 30 respectively.

In fig. 5 a schematic representation of a serial turbo decoder is shown.

The normalisation factor for the decoding unit i is given by

$$c_i = m_i \frac{2}{\sigma_i^2} \quad (1)$$

Where m_i is the mean and σ_i^2 is the variance of the extrinsic information from the decoding unit i . As it comes clear from figure 3, the normalisation unit 27, 33 provided for every decoding unit 25 and 30, respectively, adds extra complexity (which increases with frame size) to the turbo decoder.

One method to reduce the complexity of normalisation techniques for a SOVA turbo decoder was proposed by Blazek et al, „A DSP-based Implementation of the Turbo Decoder“, Proc. IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference (GLOBECOM 98), Sydney, Australia, pages 3201 to 3205, 1998. According to this technique a constant value for c_i is used which increases with each iteration:

$$c_i = b_i + na_i \quad (2)$$

Where b_i is the base value, a_i is the iteration increment and n is the iteration number. Although this method has very low complexity (there are no complex calculations required) suitable numbers have to be found which are good for all scenarios.

According to the present invention this object is achieved by means of the features of the independent claims. The dependent claims develop further the central idea of the present invention.

15

20

25

30

35

The turbo decoding method can be performed as a parallel concatenated scheme.

Further features, advantages and particularities of the present invention will become evident by means of the following detailed description of an embodiment taken into conjunction with the figures of the enclosed drawings.

5. Figure 1 shows schematically a wireless transmission chain, in which the present invention can be applied,

Figure 2 shows a schematic representation of the present invention,

10 Figure 3 shows a schematic representation of a known SOVA turbo decoder with normalisation units, operating according to the parallel scheme,

15 Figure 4 shows a schematic representation of a SOVA turbo decoder with normalisation units operating according to the serial scheme,

Figure 5 shows a schematic representation of a known SOVA turbo decoder with normalisation units operating to the serial scheme,

20 Figure 6 shows the result of a simulation,

Figure 7 shows a further simulation result to compare the effect of the present invention with the effects of the prior art techniques,

25 Figure 8 shows schematically a parallel turbo encoder, and

Figure 9 shows schematically a serial turbo encoder.

30 A transmission system according to the present invention will now be explained generally with reference to figure 1. As shown in figure 1, different data can be transmitted in a wireless manner. The data to be transmitted can comprise voice data from a telephone 1, 23, digital video data, for example, from a video camera 5 to be transmitted to a monitor 20 and other digital information data, as for example, data from a computer 6 to be transmitted to another computer 19. The analog voice data from a telephone 1 are A/D-converted 2, voice coded 3 and then supplied to a channel
35 encoder 4. The data, for example, from a video camera 5 or from the computer 6 are also supplied to the channel encoder 4. The different data, for example, the voice data and the video data can be transmitted simultaneously. The data from the channel encoder 4 are given to a interleaver 7 and then supplied to a modulator 8 providing for



15

20

35

The output signal of the second decoding unit 30 is furthermore supplied to deinterleaver 31. The estimated decoded data are provided at the output 32 of the deinterleaver 31.

5. As can be seen from figure 2, the normalisation unit 27 is only provided at the output side of the first decoding unit 25. No normalisation unit is provided for the second decoding unit 30.

10 The fact that no normalisation unit is provided for the second decoding unit 33 can also be expressed in that the output of the second decoding unit 33 is not normalised by a normalisation factor which is variable in time and/or operation of the SOVA turbo decoder.

15 Fig. 4 shows a SOVA turbo decoder according to the serial scheme. Note that in comparison to fig. 2 (showing the parallel case) an additional deinterleaver 35 is provided. The interleaver 29 according to the example of fig. 2 is omitted.

Therefore, only a subset of the SOVA outputs are normalised. The normalisation factor can be particularly:

20

$$c_1 = m_1 \frac{2}{\sigma_1^2} \quad (3)$$

$$c_2 = 1 \quad (4)$$

25 In this way the complexity of the SOVA turbo decoder can be reduced and since the correctly normalised extrinsic information from the decoding unit 27 is fed to the decoding unit 33 it also has an indirect effect on the extrinsic information produced by the decoding unit 33.

30 To investigate the performance of the proposed concept, simulations have been conducted in an AWGN channel. The parameters of the simulation are summarised in the following table 1:

35

Coder connections	[1, N(D)/G(D) G(D) = 1 + D ² + D ³ + D ⁴ N(D) = 1 + D + D ² + D ⁴
Code rate	1/3

Frame size	1000
Decoding window size	Whole frame
SOVA window size	30 bits
SOVA update rule	Hagenauer
Interleaver type	Random
Iterations	6

Simulation results are shown in figures 6 and 7. The BER for the SOVA without normalisation, with normal normalisation and with normalisation only for the first decoder are shown.

Figure 6 shows the performance of the different normalisation scheme against iteration at 2 dB E_b/N_0 . As can be seen by normalising only the first decoder there are no convergence problems. The performance is considerably improved over the no normalisation case and performance is extremely close to the traditional scheme of normalising both decoders.

Figure 7 shows the BER against E_b/N_0 after 6 iterations. As can be seen at a BER of 3×10^{-5} there is a 0.25 dB difference in the required E_b/N_0 between normalising both decoders and normalising only the first decoder. The difference between no normalisation and normalising both decoders is much greater.

It has been shown that normalising only a subset of the SOVA decoders in the turbo decoder yields very good performance. This concept may be used in certain situation where computational complexity needs to be reduced. In addition if the decoder is implemented as a parallel processing decoder the number of gates could be reduced. If the SOVA turbo decoder is to be used in a wide range of different situations (i.e. varying multipath channel) it may provide enhanced performance to the method suggested by Blazek et al but with the disadvantage of slightly increased complexity. The decision to use the technique or not, may depend upon the required BER. If for the parameters we have chosen a target BER below 5×10^{-5} is required it may be preferable to use normalisation for both decoders since the number of iterations (and hence computational complexity) required is less than if only one decoder was normalised.

The present invention therefore describes a reduced complexity normalisation technique for the soft output Viterbi algorithm (SOVA) used in a turbo decoder. The normalisation is required for the SOVA decoder because the extrinsic information

5.

10